

Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11) EP 1 346 956 A1

(12) EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication:
24.09.2003 Bulletin 2003/39

(51) Int Cl.7: C02F 3/12, C02F 11/00

(21) Application number: 03251563.7

(22) Date of filing: 14.03.2003

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR
HU IE IT LI LU MC NL PT RO SE SI SK TR
Designated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK

(30) Priority: 15.03.2002 KR 2002013992

(71) Applicants:
• Genix Engineering, Inc.
Soyang-Si, Gyeonggi-do, 412-210 (KR)
• Tae Young Corporation
Goyang-si, Gyeonggi-do 412-210 (KR)

(72) Inventors:
• Yum, Ick-Tae
Seongbuk-gu, Seoul, 136-130 (KR)
• Kwon, Jae-Hyun
Busanjin-gu, Busan 614-012 (KR)
• Kim, Hyung-Soo
Gyeonggi-do, 435-040 (KR)
• Kim, Hoon
Yongin-si, Gyeonggi-do 449-843 (KR)


(74) Representative: Knott, Stephen Gilbert et al
MATHISEN, MACARA & CO.
The Coach House
6-8 Swakeleys Road
Ickenham Uxbridge UB10 8BZ (GB)

(54) Process for sludge treatment using sludge pretreatment and membrane bioreactor

(57) Disclosed are methods for providing an improved process for organic sludge treatment. In the present invention, sludge is pretreated before being subjected to a membrane bioreactor and a fraction of sludge in the bioreactor is circulated through pretreatment devices. According to the present invention, since

the biodegradability of cells in the sludge increases greatly by chemical and/or physical pretreatment, and since the solid-liquid separation and biodegradation occurs simultaneously in the membrane bioreactor, it is possible to significantly enhance sludge reduction efficiency.

FIG.3



EP 1 346 956 A1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Description**TECHNICAL FIELD**

5 [0001] The present invention relates to sludge treatment using sludge pretreatment device and membrane bioreactor. The present invention greatly improves the efficiency of sludge treatment produced during sewage/wastewater treatment by employing chemical and/or physical sludge pretreatment and membrane-separation.

BACKGROUND

10 [0002] Activated sludge process and its applications, which employ microorganisms for decomposing organic compounds, have been used for treating wastewater and/or sewage sludge. These conventional processes, however, result in the increase of the concentration of the microorganisms, which should be also digested and removed. Therefore, these processes need further procedures for withdrawing some parts of the resulting sludge for the safe operation and
 15 the effective solid/liquid separation of the treatment system, wherein the withdrawn sludge are further treated by chemical, physical and/or biological processes for volume and mass reduction. Consequently, the resulting sludge cakes are landfilled, incinerated, and/or thrown into the sea.

[0003] Anaerobic digestion process and aerobic digestion process are well-known methods for reducing sludge volume. In these two methods, bioreactors are operated without being furnished of organic substrates, and the microorganisms, and intrinsic biodegradation or endogenous digestion of the sludge is induced.

[0004] More specifically, in anaerobic digestion process, biodegradation of sludge is induced without O₂ supply under the controls of temperature and pH, etc. In general, biodegradation of sludge occurs slowly in anaerobic digestion. It takes about 30 days to reduce 20-30% of the sludge (Sludge into Biosolids' L. Spinoso and P.A. Vesilind, IWA Publishing, 2001).

25 [0005] On the other hand, in aerobic digestion process, biodegradation of sludge is induced with continuous aeration of the sludge (see FIG. 1). Thus, the aerobic digestion process has an advantage of reducing the total retention time for decomposing organic compounds in the sludge to 15-20 days in comparison to the anaerobic digestion process. It requires, however, additional costs for the aeration.

[0006] Thus, the conventional processes for reducing sludge quantity have disadvantages as follows: i) relatively long retention time and low reduction efficiency (about 20-40 %), and ii) high treatment costs. And, the lower biodegradability of the microorganisms, such as bacteria and fungi, etc., is thought to be the substantial reason for these disadvantages [Müller J. Disintegration as a key-step in sewage sludge treatment. *Wat. Sci. Technol.* 41(8), 123-130 (2000)].

35 [0007] The biological decomposition of sludge is typically accomplished through 2 (two) steps of i) solubilization of the microorganisms in the sludge by hydrolysis and ii) decomposition of the solubilized organic compounds or waste. The first step of hydrolysis of the microorganisms is considered to be the rate-limiting step in biological sludge decomposition, since the cell membranes and/or cell walls prevent the cell components from hydrolysis.

[0008] Accordingly, there have been strong needs to increase the biodegradability of the microorganisms in the sludge for improving treatment efficiency.

DETAILED DESCRIPTIONS OF THE INVENTION

40 [0009] Any publications referenced herein are hereby incorporated by reference in this application in order to more fully describe the state of the art to which the present invention pertains.

45 [0010] It is important to understand the present invention to note that all technical and scientific terms used herein, unless otherwise defined, are intended to have the same meaning as commonly understood by one of ordinary skill in the art. The techniques used herein are also those that are known to one of ordinary skill in the art, unless stated otherwise.

[0011] Reference to particular device, cells, treatment conditions and the like, or to some subclass of same, is not intended to be limiting, but should be read to include all such related materials that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize as being of interest or value in the particular context in which that discussion is presented.

[0012] It is an object of the present invention to provide more efficient and cost effective method and system for organic sludge treatment.

55 [0013] In order to accomplish the object of the present invention, the present invention employs sludge pretreatment processes to increase biodegradability of microorganisms and other biomass particles in the sludge.

[0014] Specifically, in order to increase the biodegradability of microorganisms, the sludge is firstly subjected to chemical and/or physical pretreatment such as ozone treatment and thermal or alkaline treatment where cell walls of microorganisms are disrupted and the hydrolysis of the cell components is enhanced and accelerated. Afterward, the

pretreated sludge is transferred to a bioreactor equipped with submerged membrane either hollow fiber or flat type membrane. In the bioreactor, rapid biodegradation of the pretreated sludge occurs while solid-liquid separation is accomplished by membrane filtration.

[0015] Furthermore, the bioreactor of the present invention can include conventional aeration device and anoxic tank for nitrification and/or denitrification of nitrogen components (NO_2 and NO_3 , etc.) generated from the aeration device.

BRIEF DESCRIPTIONS OF FIGURES

[0016]

FIG.1 is a schematic diagram of the conventional aerobic sludge digestion process.

FIG.2 is a schematic diagram of the wastewater treatment process in membrane bioreactor process.

FIG.3 is a schematic diagram of the sludge treatment process of the present invention.

FIG.4 shows the mixed liquor suspended solid concentrations in the bioreactor with and without the pretreatment which is alkaline treatment followed by ozone treatment

[0017] Preferred embodiments of this invention are described in the following examples. Other embodiments within the scope of the claims herein will be apparent to those skilled in the art from consideration of the specification or practice of the invention as disclosed herein. It is intended that the specification, together with the examples, be considered exemplary only, with the scope and the spirit of the invention being indicated by the claims that follow the examples. The examples herein are meant to exemplify the various aspects of carrying out the invention and not intended to limit the scope of the invention in any way. The examples do not include detailed descriptions of conventional methods, such as aerobic sludge digestion and membrane separation, etc. Such methods are well known to those skilled in the art and are described in numerous publications. In addition, all the publications referred herein are integrated hereto as references.

EXAMPLES

[0018] Hereinafter, the operation of the devices and the features thereof will be illustrated.

[0019] Referring to the FIG.3, it shows schematic diagram representing the sludge treatment process of the present invention, wherein the process comprises pretreatment device (10) and membrane reactor for sludge decomposition (20). It is disclosed more specifically as below.

Sludge pretreatment device (10) and the process using the same

[0020] Most parts of the sludge produced in biological wastewater treatment process consist of microorganism cluster. To enhance the solubility and biodegradability of sludge, they are subjected to biological and/or physical treatments before being applied to sludge reduction processes. When the cell walls of the microorganisms are disrupted, the organic constituents of the cell are released and high molecular materials are converted into low molecular materials through hydrolysis. Thereby, the biodegradability of the sludge is enhanced and accelerated. Ozone (O_3) treatment, thermal treatment, chemical treatment can be employed independently or in combination as a pretreatment process to disintegrate cell walls.

[0021] Referring to FIG.3, the pretreatment device is comprised of: alkaline treatment tank (11) to which alkali agents, such as NaOH and $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ were furnished; ozone treatment tank (12) for O_3 treatment; and pretreated sludge equalization tank (13). As indicated in the table 1, the initial concentrations of suspended solids and COD (Cr) (chemical oxygen demand) of the raw sludge were respectively 11,440 mg/l and 13,890 mg/l. The level of biodegradability of pretreated sludge was determined by respirometric method. With the results, it was confirmed that the levels of soluble organic fraction and biodegradability of sludge were significantly increased by various pretreatments. Among the pretreatments, alkaline treatment in combination with ozone treatment showed the best performances.

Table 1.

The effects of various pretreatments of sludge with regard to the solubilization effects and the biodegradability.					
Pretreatment process	The concentration of the initial floating matters (mg/l)	Solubilization efficiency (%)	Biodegradability (%)		note
			Time-period for biodegradation (5 days)	Time-period for biodegradation (10 days)	
No	11,440	3	12	25	
Alkaline treatment		23	31	43	pH 12, for 3 hrs.
Thermal treatment		17	16	32	60°C, for 3 hrs.
Ozone treatment		28	34	51	0.05 g O ₃ /g-SS
Alkaline + thermal treatment		32	31	58	pH 12, 60°C for 3 hrs.
Ozone + alkaline treatment		39	38	69	pH 12, 0.05 gO ₃ /g-SS
* COD (Cr): 13,890 mg/l. * SS: suspended solids					

Membrane reactor for sludge decomposition (20) and the process using the same

[0022] The membrane reactor for sludge decomposition (20) comprises a bioreactor (21), in which organic compounds are decomposed, and a submerged membrane module for solid-liquid separation (22). In the process of membrane filtration, suction pressure was applied to separate the solid materials. As a result, the decomposition efficiency of solid sludge in the bioreactor increased greatly. That was caused: i) since the rate of biological decomposition or endogenous respiration was more or less proportional to the sludge concentration, the high concentration of sludge in bioreactor (21) resulted in the increase of endogenous respiration rate, and ii) a good portion of sludge was converted to biodegradable matters via pretreatment.

[0023] Although the membrane separation system makes it possible to maintain relatively high concentrations of sludge in the bioreactor, the concentration should be controlled below a certain level. Very high sludge concentrations in the bioreactor often cause major problems such as membrane fouling and significant drop of oxygen transfer rate. Accumulation of nondegradable inorganic compounds within the reactor is also a problem, reducing the organic fraction of the mixed liquor in the reactor. Accordingly, in order to avoid the accumulation of the inorganic compounds, a fraction of the sludge (about 20% of the influent raw sludge) was continuously withdrawn and was removed from the bioreactor (21). That is, a fraction of the sludge was recycled to alkaline treatment tank (11), while another fraction of the sludge were withdrawn and removed from the treatment system.

[0024] Referring to FIG.4, the role of the pretreatment (alkaline treatment to pH 12 followed by ozone treatment at the dose of 0.02 gO₃/gSS) in the aerobic digestion coupled with membrane separation was demonstrated.

[0025] In this comparison study, both of the processes were operated under the same condition except that one was without the pretreatment. The hydraulic retention time was 5 days. As for the process without the sludge pretreatment, the decomposition rate of sludge was so low that the sludge in the bioreactor accumulated rapidly. Therefore, it was required either to increase the retention time or to withdraw more sludge in order to maintain the concentration of sludge in the bioreactor (21) at appropriate level.

[0026] Whereas, as for the treatment process including the pretreatment, the present invention, the decomposition rate of sludge was relatively higher and the sludge level in the bioreactor increased very slowly. Thus, it was possible

to keep the sludge concentration in the bioreactor (21) within the desired level, while withdrawing relatively small amounts of sludge.

[0027] On the other hand, when the concentration of the sludge in the bioreactor increased, the pore blocking of the membrane immersed in the membrane module (22) had occurred. Aeration pipes were disposed at the bottom area of the membrane module so that the liquid stream generated by the air bubbles prevented membrane fouling.

INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITIES

[0028] As disclosed above, the present invention relates to a method and a device for treating excess sludge and/or primary sludge produced from biological wastewater treatment plants. According to the present invention, it is possible not only to shorten the time-period for sludge digestion but also to enhance the solid reduction efficiency. Thus, the present invention provides a cost effective tools for resolving a problem of environment pollution.

[0029] While this invention has been particularly shown and described with reference to particular embodiments thereof, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes in form and details may be effected therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as defined by the appended claims.

Claims

1. A sludge treating method comprising the steps of:

- i) pretreating sludge in order to increase soluble fraction and biodegradability of the bacteria cells in the sludge;
- ii) transferring the pretreated sludge to a aerated bioreactor for biological decomposition; and
- iii) conducting solid-liquid separation by membrane modules (22) submerged in the bioreactor (21).

2. The sludge treating method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the method is **characterized by** comprising further steps of;

- a) withdrawing and removing a fraction of sludge in the bioreactor (21); and
- b) sending back a fraction of the sludge to the pretreatment reactors via return pipe (30).

3. The sludge treating method as claimed in claim 1 or 2, wherein the pretreatment is chemical and/or physical treatments.

4. The sludge treating method as claimed in claim 3, wherein the pretreatment is ozone treatment, thermal treatment, alkaline treatment, and/or their combinations.

5. The sludge treating method as claimed in claim 1 or 2, wherein the method is **characterized by** comprising further steps of delivering off-gas, which is generated from the ozone treatment reactor (12), to the bioreactor for aeration purpose (20).

6. A sludge treatment device which comprises:

- i) a pretreatment device having ozone treatment reactor, thermal treatment reactor and/or alkali agent treatment reactor; and
- ii) a bioreactor (21) equipped with submerged membrane module (22) either hollow fiber or flat membrane.

FIG1




FIG.2




FIG.3





FIG.4





European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 03 25 1563

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)
X	EP 0 924 168 A (SHINKO PANTEC KABUSHIKA KAISHA) 23 June 1999 (1999-06-23)	1-4,6	C02F3/12 C02F11/00
Y	* page 2, paragraph 2 * * page 3, paragraphs 9,13-15 * * page 4, paragraphs 18,20 * * page 5, paragraph 27 - page 6, paragraph 35 * * page 7, paragraphs 45,46 * * page 11, paragraph 60 - page 13, paragraph 62; figure 7 *	5	
X	WO 01 21535 A (DEGREMONT ;CORDIER MICHEL (FR); LE BOSSE XAVIER (FR)) 29 March 2001 (2001-03-29)	1-4,6	
Y	* page 2, line 22 - page 3, line 14 * * page 4, line 5-21 * * page 9, line 5-14; claims 1,2,4 *	5	
Y	DE 195 08 786 A (MANNESMANN AG) 14 September 1995 (1995-09-14) * column 1, line 1 - column 3, line 11 * * column 5, line 21 - column 6, line 20; figures 1-3 *	1-6	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7) C02F
Y	GB 345 194 A (HORACE GRAY GILLILAND) 17 March 1931 (1931-03-17) * page 1, column 1, line 36 - page 2, column 1, line 6 *	1-6	
Y	WO 00 37369 A (ZENON ENVIRONMENTAL INC ;BEHMANN HENRY (CA); HUSAIN HIDAYAT (CA);) 29 June 2000 (2000-06-29) * page 1, line 6-12 * * page 2, line 9-21 *	1-6	
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search MUNICH		Date of completion of the search 26 June 2003	Examiner Kurtulan, M
<p>CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS</p> <p>X: particularly relevant if taken alone Y: particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A: technological background O: non-written disclosure P: intermediate document</p> <p>T: theory or principle underlying the invention E: earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D: document cited in the application L: document cited for other reasons &: member of the same patent family, corresponding document</p>			

EPO FORM 1503 03 02 (P04C01)

ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.

EP 03 25 1563

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

26-06-2003

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0924168	A	23-06-1999	JP 3267935 B2	25-03-2002
			JP 11235598 A	31-08-1999
			DE 69814317 D1	12-06-2003
			EP 1302446 A1	16-04-2003
			EP 0924168 A1	23-06-1999
			US 6224769 B1	01-05-2001
			US 2001000007 A1	15-03-2001
			US 2001000008 A1	15-03-2001
WO 0121535	A	29-03-2001	FR 2798924 A1	30-03-2001
			AU 7428900 A	24-04-2001
			EP 1226095 A1	31-07-2002
			WO 0121535 A1	29-03-2001
DE 19508786	A	14-09-1995	DE 19508786 A1	14-09-1995
			AT 405829 B	25-11-1999
			AT 41495 A	15-04-1999
GB 345194	A	17-03-1931	NONE	
WO 0037369	A	29-06-2000	AU 1763900 A	12-07-2000
			CA 2355087 A1	29-06-2000
			WO 0037369 A1	29-06-2000
			EP 1140706 A1	10-10-2001

EPO FORM P0459

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKÉWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.